App. F. IX-4

1925-6

File No. 145-25

Previous References (Genl)

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later References

Genl. 100-27 (851-15) preserve

Genl 82-86 (*-9)

(33)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Genl

Part B for Apl 27

SUBJECT

Refund of Excess fees received in stamps from Applicants for Explosives Licenses.

[redacted] H132-3 dy 10.12.26

To [redacted]

To Dr. Magisthale - Wolar.

Comptroller & Govt Chief Inspr of Mines.

Sanctions the refunding.

G. R. S. III—11 1925-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Genl

NOTES.

File No. 14

FILE HEADING.

Refund of excess fees recovered in stamps from applicants for explosives licenses

From the Chief Inspr of Mines

No. 1733 Dated 4-5-26 Received 5-5-26

Diary No. 5706	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that a new procedure may be adopted in the matter of recovery of license fee for explosives license in cash instead of in C. stamps, or orders issued to refund such extra fees.

NOTES AND ORDERS. alternatives

The Chief Inspectors suggestions are :—

1) Amendment of Rule 82 of Explosives Rules to enable the licensing authority to procure ~~only the~~ Government Postorders in Cash

or

2) the addn of a new clause

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1681—GPB—30,000—1e5-21

Serial No

D

& compt up-
to.

orders under Sec. 52 of the Stamps Regulations, or 54 of the Explosives Rules, so that the excess fee paid in stamps may be refunded to the party on application within 3 months of the date of the grant of the licenses. In case additions are to be made to the Regn. the Pers: Pr. has to be consulted for orders.

No 107526 3) Please bring up. WA 11/5

V

4) In the case of licenses for Petroleum fees will be received in Cash. (Chap. VI - Rule 1 (2)) &, cent in the cases of licenses under the Explosives Rules the fees are being taken in the shape of "Impressed stamps" (General Stamp papers), and i.e. the applications shall be written on such stamp papers of the value equal to the amount of the fees leviable in respect of such licenses & the licenses are issued on printed forms provided for the purpose with the signatures of the concerned authorities.

21 + 54 (C + U)

Contd.

5) As regards refunds, there is a

Contn. Sheet

Serial No

permission in the Arms Rules in cases of refusal of grant of licences but no such permission exists in the Explosives Rules.

By the Chief Inspr. of Mines state in para 6 of his letter that all the Dt. Magistrates are in favour of the refusal recommended.

V for orders.

13.7.26.

To, Mr. C.E. Suryanarayana Rao Inspr. of Mines

Rc. D.2614 - 11.10.26

Calls for a copy of letter in Rl, the original having been mislaid in this Office.

No. 873 of 12.10.26 -

From the Chief Inspr.

Forwards a copy of letter referred to.

to obtain a copy of the

D.16176.

217/ 4

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Called

As personally desired the copy was obtained from the Chief Inspr of Mines.

The connected file with the required regulations is now being taken to register along with other files that were on the asst. Secy's table.

RR
18/10/26.

Mr Ranganna

The file that is put up with this, in Genl: No. 145 of 1925: it relates to a ref^e from the 1st May to Solar. d. 16th Aug 1926. Vijia on the records to have a provision for refund of stamp value, when the application for Explosives licence is rejected- So, that has been separately dealt with.

7

Will this do?

Please trace the connected file to which this letter (Mr Burguet's) relates & put up very early.

RR
26/10/26.

(Please keep a copy of the note in the file below, for ref^e in dealing with Mr Burguet's ref^e

RR
26/10/26.

Both have the same file No but different Genl Nos.
RR

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1642 5 ±

WD 01361—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Genl File No. 165 of 1925 ~~of 192-~~

Serial No.

2 From the Dt. mag. Kolar

no. J.C. 44/26-27 dt 16.8.26

Requests sanction of Govt. to refund the amount of received in the shape of stamps in cases of refusal of applications for explosives licenses

Q 1) Rule 57 of Explosives rules authorises the recovery of fees by means of impressed stamps.

2) When Rules under the Explos Regulations were first introduced (in 1914) & a clear provision was made for the refund of the value of stamps in cases of refusal of the grant of licenses (Rule 37, but when they were revised on the lines of the Govt. of India rules in 1918 the provision was omitted

O Encl. to 8.-3 in Genl. 69-15 } R.

for reasons explained

3) The Dt mag. has requests sps sanction of Govt. for the refund of the value of stamps he has received ~~for rejected applications for licenses~~, and points out the necessity for such a provision in the Explosives Rules in the same way as in rule 21 of the Mysore Arms Rules.

P 4) for orders.

Mr M.R.

26.8.26.

5) Please bring up new

WB 26/8/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1010

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ecd 2 File No 145 of 1925.

Serial No.

Flag Q.

6) Under Rule 54 of the revised Mysore Explosives Rules, all fees chargeable under those rules, are to be collected by impressed stamps. Before the revised rules came into force in 1917-18, there appear to have been no uniformity in the matter of collecting the fees by impressed stamps: sometimes the application for licenses were written on 'impressed stamp' paper of the proper value & the licenses were issued on plain paper; more rarely, the licenses themselves were issued on stamp paper & the applications were allowed to be on plain paper. Under the original rules, therefore, cases would arise when the applicants wanted a refund of the stamp on their applications (on stamp paper) for a licence, were rejected. It is perhaps to provide for such class of cases that Rule 37 of the old Rules, authorising the refund of the stamp value.

Please see Flag O. (portion marked).

7) When these old Rules were revised, to bring them into a line with those in force in British India, this provision was omitted. There is no such provision in the Govt of In Rules either. It may therefore be inferred that what Govt wanted, was, that the applications should always be on plain paper and the licenses when granted, should be on stamp paper of the proper value (i.e. the value of the fee chargeable under the Explosives Rules). In such cases the application was rejected, there was no

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Genl File No 145 of 1925.

Serial No.

Urgent

occasion for any refund of stamp value. This may also be gathered from a perusal of the forms of licenses & the form of application in Schedule II of the Revised Rules. The file leading to the issue of the Revised Rules (File Genl. No. 69-15 of 1915-16) does not throw any light on the point. [I have not been able to get at the Statement of Objects and Reasons underlying the issue of the Gut of India Rules, on which our existing rules are based]. The Protection Branch may perhaps be consulted whether the above view is correct.

8) If that is correct, a suitable reply may be sent to the District Magte Kolar and a circular issued to all the District Magistrates & other officers entrusted with the administration of the Explosives Regulation & Rules.

9) In the present specific case, the refund bill forwarded by the District Magistrate may be sanctioned as a special case.

10) Subd for orders.

Secy D) MVR 25/10/26.

11) The refunds applied for may be sanctioned as a special case. The provision for refunds may be inserted in the rule* 54 analogous to that of Rule 21* of the Mysore Arms Rules.

Flag Q

Flag P

12) It is desirable that applications should be made on impressed stamp paper and the licenses are only

NOTE. Contn.Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Secl File No. (F.W. 14/25) of 1925.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 OCT. 1926
No. 3030

Serial No.

or plain paper rather than vice versa

GPB
30.10.26.

2nd member)

13) Is it not possible to find out definitely why there was no proof for such refund in the Indian Rules?

14) Should not the stamp paper be produced in support the claim for refund?

Mr
1.11.26
GPB
1.11.26

15) Para 13 of members minute:- the information required has to be obtained from the Govt. of India, there the Residency is asked. a reference will be made.

16) Para 14:—

The levy of fees in the case of Explosives & Arms licences does not come under the purview of the Stamps Regns: special rules & Regns have been framed for the grant of such licences since the licence applied for is not granted it is better to get back the original stamped document before a refund.

Serial No.

is sanctioned instead of allowing it to remain with the Bank.

17) For orders.

8.11.26

18) For favour of remarks in Para 13. of the Mr's minute.

Asst Secy
Prot'n Br)
with compts

10/11

cmg
11/11

19) Please submit Jagannatha Iyer's Stamp Act, a copy of the New Stamp Rules the file (if available) of the amendment of the Explosives Regulation in 1917, & see if any reason is assigned for omitting the provision.

cmg
12/11

20) There is no indication, in the file relating to the amendment of the Regulation, as to the reason for omitting the provision for refund of license fees when a license is refused. Why exactly the provision was omitted in British India, is not possible to say.

(21) Whenever a license is refused & the "impressed stamp" license fee fees is returned without cancellation it is open to the party to approach the Deputy Commissioner, under Secs 49 to 54 of the Stamp Regulation, for payment of the money value of the stamps. The stamps will have to be produced by him

Serial No.

One one drawback to this method is that the party will not get back the full value of the stamps, ~~but~~. There will be a deduction of one anna per one rupee or fraction of a rupee. The party should not be made to lose, if a license is rejected therefore a provision for refund appears to be necessary. There was such a provision before & there is such a provision in the Arms Regulation & other laws where licenses are liable to be rejected. The Stamp-paper will not then be returned, but cancelled by the issuing authority who will issue an order for refund.

Addl Secy Develpt Bch with Compts

Carp 29/11

22) Sub for perusal & orders.

Ref Paras 13+14.

Sec D)

WP 29/11

Ld Secy with Compts

23

Reference member's minute paras. 13+14

EPR 27.11.26

WP 27/11

BH 29.11.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1 - DEC. 1926
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

Serial No.

21) Kind reference is invited to the members minute dated 1.11.26 at paras 13+14 and the note of the Asst secy. Protection Branch, (read 21) concurred in 1922-20, circulated by the Genl secy. The bill will be forwarded to the Protection Branch, for putting up a draft amendment

25) The repeal bill now A.S. forwarded by the DC maybe sanctioned as a special case

CPT 3.12.26.

2nd member)

WP 4/12

26) I agree. The impressed stamp shall be duly cancelled and sent as Voucher to support the charges refund. since 5/12/26

CPT 6.12.26

WP 6/12

27) Draft filed.

28) 9.12.26. Hpd. Please issue resolution.

WP 9/12

3) To, The Magistrate Kolar
Comptr of Civil Justice Finance.
Or. 4132-29-10/1926
Sanction above refund bill of stamps
Book separate order will be issued in
incorporating provision in the Effective Rules

NOTE Contn.Sheet

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL
4 DEC. 1926
No. 3796

5706-25 11

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | Genl. File No 145 of 1925.

Serial No.

Is it not possible to find out definitely why there is no provision for such refund in Br. Indian Rules?

Should not the stamp-paper be produced to support the claim for refund?"

(D.I. 2.12.25
1-11-25

The note & discussion in the above file are in respect of the same point — ie. question of refund of stamp value, either wholly or in part, under the Explosives Rules.

This file deals with the proposed refund of excess stamp value, where stamps in excess of the prescribed value, have been affixed to applications by reason of inadvertence.

The linked file deals with the refund of stamp value, when applications for licenses are refused: it is under consideration to introduce a suitable provision for refund in such cases.

Both the questions may be dealt together

Asst Secy
Proh" Br
with comps

WOP
14/12/25.

(For opinion of the Proh" Br, please see linked file. Genl 1450 25)

Vide Draft Note in linked file 2?

WO
Rx 28.12.25

Serial No.

29) Draft amendment of Rules submitted for approval.

30) Kind attention is invited to Sec. 15 of the Mysore Explosives Regn. II of 1888, and in conformity with this the amendment proposed to be issued has first to be published in the Gazette. Please also see Ecd. 120-24 & 1-11 for the precedents.

31) For orders

14/12/26

32) Whether the amendment may be published in a notification without being first published in the Gazette or otherwise, may also be considered.

33) Kind ref^e is invited to the subject matter in the linked file, which deals with a similar aspect of the same question. In this case, it is the inclusion of a proviso in the Explosives Rules, to refund the stamp value, in cases where an application for a license is refused.

34) In the linked file, the proposal is to make a suitable provision in the Explosives Rules, for the refund of the excess portion of the stamp value, in each where a party has affixed stamps in excess of the prescribed value owing to inadvertence or ignorance.

35) Whether there two provisos may not be simultaneously introduced in the Explosives Rules, is for consid^n

36) For favour of remarks & further action - (Ref^e Paras 21, 24 & 26).

Asst Secy - cent

16/12

35) This is also desirable, but may mean some loss. There is no provision to refund of excess payments in the Rules or rules. On grounds of equity the party might get a refund.

Asst Secy - Dept of Rev & Agriculture

cag 17-12

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
19 DEC. 1926

NOTE.—Contin Sheets

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 145 of 1926

Serial No.

37) Sub^d for perusal of the remarks of the Asst Secy Prot^n Branch, in Para 37 above (Please see linked file) —

38) Whether a proviso as suggested by the Chief Insp^r of Mines in the linked file, may in the circs be incorporated along with the amendment proposed in this file, is for orders.

Secy D)

WD
18/12

39) Please see note on draft and bring up

GRS
20-12-26

WD
24/12

40) Submitted a revised draft as instructed personally. Dft notif^n for appr

23-12-26.

41) Secy D)

WD
25/12

42) The draft includes the removal of excess value also. This is for orders.

GRS
26 12 26

43) 2nd member WD

44) The proposed rule seems all right

45) Draft appd. Is it not necessary to invite public criticisms before finally sanctioning the new Rule? Please see what is the practice in this respect in the law under which this Rule is made. Is it not necessary to refer to such practice in the notification.

29.12
GRS
29.12

WD
2.1.27.

46) Bring up at once

GRS 2.1.27

WD 3/1/27

OFFICE OF THE SECOND KEMLER OF COUNCIL
30 DEC. 1926
4457

Seri No.

Re-the
47) Point raised by the Member's kind attention is invited to paras 30 & 32 of office note - page 8.

48) Draft notice calling for public opinion is subd. for appl.

49) I also understand from personal enquiry in the Postn Branch, that Such previous publication in the Gazette is necessary. 3.1.27.

WD

50)

51) Revised draft notice in subd for consid-

Secy D) WD 3/1/27

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER, EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
4 JAN. 1927
No. 4578.

52) The enclosed draft Notice will be published in the Gazette if approved. Section 15 of the Rly Act requires its previous publication

2nd member) 31.12.27

Mudr 4-1-27

4/

To,

Comptroller, Mysore Gte.

No. 5194 Dy. 6/1/27

53) Issues Gtee 5.1.27 WD 5/1

Issues notice that the Consideration of the notice for addendum to Rule 52 of the Explosives Rules will be taken up if any objection or suggestion may be sent to the Secy. Govt. Depts before 28/2/27.

Copy to Ch. Inspector of Mines

Tele 28/2/27

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Seri No..

5a) the stipulated time prescribed in the notice (No. D. 5794 dt 6.1.27) was 28/2/27. Since no objections or suggestions were received a draft notification is subd. for appl.

2nd 8/3/27 VS

5a) Dft notifn for appl WD 8/3

Sec'y D)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 MAR. 1927
No. 6033

9/3

55) Number added

3d Member) WD 11/3 11/3 27

C82 14/3 WD 14/3

56) Issue VS 14/3/27

5 To, Compilr Mysore Gazette

No. 786 dt. 16/3/27

Publishes the addendum to Rule 54

No. 788 – Copy to Cl. Asstr. & Trans

6

[illegible] BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

615 16

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ged File No 145 of 1926.

Serial No. 6

From the Chief Despr of Imms
No. 1700 dy 25·3·27
Requests that notifn no. D.
7867/Ged. 145-26-5 dy 16·3·27 may
be got translated into
Kannada & spare copies
supplied to this office.

57) A spare copy of the notifn was sent to the Translation Section on 16·3·27

58) Translation Sectn
will please state whether it
has been rendered into Kannada
& sent to Press.
28/3/27.

Trans: Section)
The translation was sent to the Br.
on 21·3·27 and receipt thereof was acknowledged by the Br. 29·3·27.

The Kannada translation of the notifn has been sent to the Compiler, M.S. for publication in the Gazette.

Draft requesting him to supply 200 copies of the above to the Chief Despr is put up.
29/3/27

Issue
29.3.27

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT, 30 MAR 1927 BNCH.

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

6159

WD 01225—GPB—37,500—1

App. F. II

General and Revenue Secretariat

Secl File No 145 of 1925

Serial No.	
7	To the Comptr Mysore Gazette.
	No D 8528 dy 5/4/27
	Requests him to supply 200 Copies of the Kannada addendum & Rules of the Explosives Rules to the Chief Inspector of mines.
	Copy of the Chief Inspector of mines
	No D 8529 dy 5/4/27

B

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,
6 APR. 1927
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

Dy. No. 6-706 & 4/5/26. Ecol. 145-25-1

Letter No.1733 dated 4th May 1926 from the Chief Inspector of Mines in Mysore to the Offg: Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

I have the honour to state that many instances of excess fees being recovered from applicants for explosives licenses - thirty for the first three months of 1926 - have come to the notice of this Department while scrutinising the monthly statements of licenses issued in the various Districts and the same have been duly brought to the notice of the District Magistrates concerned.

In every case an explanation for the excess amount paid has been sought from the licensing authority and enquiries made as to whether it is not possible to refund the excess amount so collected.

- In the majority of cases, the explanation given is that the applicants for licenses make their applications on stamp-paper of higher denominations than actually required by the Rules and are therefore themselves at fault, hence it is not possible to refund the excess amount to the parties concerned in the absence of any definite provision either in the Mysore Explosives Regulation and Rules or in the Mysore Stamp Regulation and Rules.

Under these circumstances, it seems unfair to make the applicants for licenses suffer for not being entirely conversant with the technical details of the rules and that it is necessary to make some suitable provision either in the rules under the Explosives Regulation or those under the Stamp Regulation.

To lessen the hardship felt on this account by Explosives Licensees, certain proposals in this connection were made by this Department and the opinions of the several District Magistrates invited on them. All of them agreed that it is but fair to refu the excess amount of fee paid out of ignorance by Licensees, bu

opi

opinions differed in regard to the remedy suggested.

The majority favoured the collection of fees in cash, while others opposed this procedure as giving rise to the possibility of abuse. A few suggested an amendment of the Stamp Regulation so as to provide for such cases, while others said that such an amendment is not necessary in view of the existing provision under section 52 of the Stamp Regulation. This provision, however, is not clear in so far as Explosives Licensees are concerned and might not be accepted by the other District Magistrates as being adequate.

In this connection, I wish to bring to the notice of Government that, according to Rule 1 (2), Chapter VI, of the rules under the Mysore Petroleum Regulation, all fees are collected in cash and, if a similar procedure is followed in the case of Explosives Licenses, rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules will have to be suitably amended. On the other hand, if the fees are to be collected by impressed stamps - the present procedure - a clause may be added (vide rule 21, Mysore Arms Rules) either under rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules or under section 52 of the Stamp Regulation, preferably the former, that excess fees paid in stamps by an applicant, inadvertently, for an explosives license may be refunded to him on application within three calendar months of the date of the grant of the license.

Early orders of Government are solicited in the matter.

---------:o:---------

" C o p y "

L. Bocquet.

CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES

12-10-1926. IN MYSORE.

R.S.

09183

853
P 826

Office of the District Magistrate, Kolar District.

No. Dated, Kolar, the 16th August 1926.

5706 Ge. 44 From 26 27 Eau

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
18 AUG 1926
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH.

Ecol. 145-25-2.

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

GEN. & REV. SECTION
BR. P
18. AUG. 1926
G. D SECTION

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-At-Law.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that in accordance with rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules, all applications for the issue of explosive licenses are made on impressed stamps of the prescribed value, but there is no provision in the said rules to refund the value of the stamps if the license is rejected. I think it is necessary to have some provision in the explosive rules to refund the value of such stamps just in the same way as in rule 21 of the Mysore Arms Rules which provides for the refund of the value of the impressed stamps when gun license applications are rejected. Pending the issue of general orders in the matter, I submit herewith a refund bill for Rs 5/- on behalf of one Peer Sab, Kolar Town, whose application for a renewal license under form "A" for the year 1926 was rejected and request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government to refund the amount as a special case. No Treasury certificate has been furnished as no cash was collected and remitted to treasury.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner
For District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

A.R.
16/8.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Un recruits.

Section Ecl

File No. 16 5 . 25

Serial No

No. 2614

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....

Date of issue 11/10/26

Signed by Mr. V.V

* with copies of enclosures if any.

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward a copy of letter No. 1733 & 6.5. from the Chief Inspector of mines in the matter of refund of excess fees recovered in stamps from applicants for explosives licenses as the original letter has been mislaid and is not easily traceable.

Issue.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

—

Yours faithfully

over

WD 8/10

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

C. E. Surgeon

Inspector of Mines

5

D.M.E. 31.]

DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND EXPLOSIVES.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN MYSORE.

D.O. No. 573/E. *Dated, Oorgaum.....* 12th October *.....192* 6.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No.D.2614/ Geol.145-25 dated 11th October 1926 addressed to Mr. Suryanarayana Rao, Inspector of Mines, I enclose, herewith, copy of my letter No.1733 dated 4th May 1926 in the matter of refund of excess fees recovered in stamps from applicants for explosives licenses.

SECRETARIAT 2171 14 OCT 1928 INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH.

Yours sincerely,

K. Bosquet.

V. Vedavyasa Charya, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Mr MR.
Please work up
now & submit
the file with the
Regulations & other refs
14/10/26.

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1000

Section Revl

File No. 145-26

Serial No. 4-3

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 10.12.26

Signed by W. V. Rao

Read—

Letter no. J. C. 44/26-27 dt 16-8-26 from the Dy Insp. Kolar, stating that it is necessary to have some provision in the Explosives Rules for the refund of Value of impressed stamps in cases where Explosives licenses are rejected, + requesting Sanction of Govt. for the refund of Rs. 57- to one Peer Sab, Kolar, whose application for the renewal of an Explosives license for 1926 was rejected.

Order No. D 4132-4 dt 10 12 26

ay this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.

English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
. Kannada Part ?

the refund of Rs. 67/- asked for is sanctioned, as a special case.

The Dt. Mag. is requested to cancel the impressed stamps already pasted and send it to the Comptr. as a voucher to support the charge for the refund.

Separate orders will issue in the matter of including suitable provision in the Explosives Rules as regards the refund of stamp value ~~in cases where licenses are rejected~~ in certain cases, under the Mysore Explosives Regulation.

W
9/12
Hd Clerg. Gen. Dept.

List of enclosures.

To

The Dt. Mag. Kolar
returning the file

" Comptr. Mysore Govt

" Chief Inspr of Mines.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000.60—21-12-28

8

No. D-5196 dt 6/1/27

NOTICE.

Genl 145-25
8-4.

Notice is hereby given under Section 15 of Regulation II of 1888, that the following draft Notification regarding addendum to Rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules, published under Government Notification No. G.9745 dated 18th Jan. 1918 Genl 69-15-17 will be taken into consideration.

6.1.27 Signed by Sd/ C.R. Reddi

The Government of Mysore will consider any objection or suggestion which may be made by any person with respect to the draft - addendum, before the 28th February 1927.

All such objections or suggestions may be forwarded to the Secy to Govt Dev Deptt ~~Chief Inspector of Mines~~.

(~~Draft~~ Notification

~~Draft~~ Addendum to Rule 54.

"All applications for licenses or renewals of licenses, shall, invariably, be made on 'impressed stamps' of value equal to the amount of fee leviable in respect of such licenses or renewals, the licenses themselves being issued on printed forms prescribed for the purpose.

Where an Application is made on impressed stamp-paper and the license is refused or the impressed stamp used is of higher value than is required under the rules for the Application, the value of the stamp or the excess as the case may be, will, on ~~application~~ representation in the prescribed form, be refunded to the applicant deducting one anna for each rupee or fraction of a rupee."

Mark 4/1/27

By Order,

CRR 3.1.27

WP 3/1

Secretary ~~to Govt~~., Dev. Deptts.

To. The Compiler, M.G. for publication. P. T.O

Memo. No. 5195 Dev/37

. Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Chief Inspector of Mines, for information.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Dev. Deptts.

10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. D. 5794 / Genl. 145-26-4

Dated, Bangalore, 6/11/27

N O T I C E.

Notice is hereby given under Section 15 of Regulation II of 1868, that the following draft Notification regarding addendum to Rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules, published under Government Notification No.G.9745/Genl.69-15-17 dated 18th January/918 will be taken into consideration.

The Government of Mysore will consider any objection or suggestion which may be made by any person with respect to the draft addendum, before the 28th February 1927.

All objections or suggestions may be forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Development Departments.

--:0:--

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

Addendum to Rule 54.

"All applications for licenses or renewals of licenses, shall, invariably, be made on 'impressed stamps' of value equal to the amount of fee leviable in respect of such licenses or renewals, the licenses themselves being issued on printed forms prescribed for the purpose. Where an Application is made on impressed stamp-paper and the license is refused, or the impressed stamp used is of higher value than is required under the rules for the application, the value of the stamp or the excess as the case may be, will, on representation in the prescirbed form, be refunded to the applicant deducting one anna for each rupee or fraction of a rupee".

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

B.R.S/6

1C

APP. V. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Genl.

File No. 145-25

Serial No. 5.

No. D. 7867 & 16/3/27

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1000/.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 16/3/ 192 7

Date of issue.....16.3.27.....

Signed by.....W. A. Rau.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

Notification

The following Addendum to Rule 52 of the Mysore Explosives Rules is published for general information.

All applications for licenses or renewals of licenses shall, invariably, be made on "impressed stamps" of value equal to the amount of fee leviable in respect of such licenses or renewals, the licenses themselves being issued on printed forms prescribed for the purpose. Where an application is made on "impressed stamp paper" and the license is

over

refused, or the impressed stamps used is of higher value than is required under the rules for the application, the value of the stamps or the excess, as the case may be, will, on representation in the prescribed form, be refunded to the applicant deducting one anna for each rupee or fraction of a rupee".

By order.

WR

WP 8/3 Asst. Secy. Dev. Depts

WP 4/13

To

The Asst Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication in the Gazette & with a request that 500 spare copies of the notification may be supplied to this office & 20 to the Chief Inspr of Mines & Explosives.

encs. No. D. 2868 8/16/3/17

Copy forwarded with Compliments to Chief Inspr of Mines in Mysore.

By order

WR

WP 8/3 Dev. Secy

VB

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT.

No. D. 7867—*Geol.* 145-25-5, *dated* 16*th March* 1927.

The following addendum to Rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules is published for general information :—

All applications for licenses or renewals of licenses shall, invariably, be made on "impressed stamps" of value equal to the amount of fee leviable in respect of such licenses or renewals, the licenses themselves being issued on printed forms prescribed for the purpose. Where an application is made on "impressed stamp paper" and the license is refused, or the impressed stamp used is of higher value than is required under the rules for the application, the value of the stamp or the excess, as the case may be, will, on representation in the prescribed form, be refunded to the applicant deducting one anna for each rupee or fraction of a rupee."

By Order,
N. RAMA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND EXPLOSIVES.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN MYSORE.

*Dated, Oorgaum.....*25th... March.....*1927.*
(S. India.)

G. N. & REV. SECRETARIAT
25 APR 1927
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

459

R. BOCQUET, ESQ., J.P.,
CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN MYSORE.

Geol - 145-25-6

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.7868 dated 16th March 1927 forwarding copy of Government Notification No.D.7867/Geol. 145-25-5 dated 16th March 1927 publishing the addendum to Rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to have the addendum rendered into Kannada in the Translation Branch of the General and Revenue Secretariat and sent to this office to get copies of the same printed for insertion in the Kannada edition of the Mysore Explosives Rules.

15

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Genl

File No. 145-25

Seria No. 7

No. D-8528 6/5/27

Diary No. of letter replied to 615

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Compiler, Mysore

Genl.

Date of issue 5.6.27

Signed by M C E

Sir

I am directed to request you to supply to the Chief Inspector of Mines 200 copies of Kannada translation of addendum to rule 54 of the Mysore Explosives Rules, copy of which has already been sent to you for publication in the Gazette. (Notfn no. D. 7867/Genl. 145-25-5 dt 16.3.27)

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

P.T.O

16

I have the
29.3.27
honour to be
Sir
Your obedient servant

Memo. No. 8538 A/26/1/1 of the above date

A copy is forwarded, with
Compliments, to the Chief
Inspr of Mines with reference
to his letter No. 1900 of 25-3-27.

By order
29.3.27

20